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#### Abstract

Let $R=K[X]$ be the polynomial ring in infinitely many indeterminates $X$ over a field $K$, and let $\mathfrak{S}_{X}$ be the symmetric group of $X$. The group $\mathfrak{S}_{X}$ acts naturally on $R$, and this in turn gives $R$ the structure of a module over the group ring $R\left[\mathfrak{S}_{X}\right]$. A recent theorem of Aschenbrenner and Hillar states that the module $R$ is Noetherian. We address whether submodules of $R$ can have any number of minimal generators, answering this question positively.


Let $R=K[X]$ be the polynomial ring in infinitely many indeterminates $X$ over a field $K$. Write $\mathfrak{S}_{X}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathfrak{S}_{N}\right)$ for the symmetric group of $X$ (resp. $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ ) and $R\left[\mathfrak{S}_{X}\right]$ for its (left) group ring, which acts naturally on $R$. A symmetric ideal $I \subseteq R$ is an $R\left[\mathfrak{S}_{X}\right]$-submodule of $R$.

Aschenbrenner and Hillar recently proved [1] that all symmetric ideals are finitely generated over $R\left[\mathfrak{S}_{X}\right]$. They were motivated by finiteness questions in chemistry [2] and algebraic statistics [4]. In proving the Noetherianity of $R$, it was shown that a symmetric ideal $I$ has a special, finite set of generators called a minimal Gröbner basis. However, the more basic question whether $I$ is always cyclic (already asked by Josef Schicho [3]) was left unanswered in [1]. Our result addresses a generalization of this important issue.

Theorem 1. For every positive integer n, there are symmetric ideals of $R$ generated by $n$ polynomials which cannot have fewer than $n R\left[\mathfrak{S}_{X}\right]$-generators.

In what follows, we work with the set $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots\right\}$, although as remarked in [1], this is not really a restriction. In this case, $\mathfrak{S}_{X}$ is naturally identified with $\mathfrak{S}_{\infty}$, the permutations of the positive integers, and $\sigma x_{i}=x_{\sigma i}$ for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\infty}$.

Let $M$ be a finite multiset of positive integers and let $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}$ be the list of its distinct elements, arranged so that $m\left(i_{1}\right) \geq \cdots \geq m\left(i_{k}\right)$, where $m\left(i_{j}\right)$ is the multiplicity of $i_{j}$ in $M$. The type of $M$ is the vector $\lambda(M)=\left(m\left(i_{1}\right), m\left(i_{2}\right), \ldots, m\left(i_{k}\right)\right)$. For instance, the multiset $M=\{1,1,1,2,3,3\}$ has type $\lambda(M)=(3,2,1)$. Multisets are in bijection with monomials of $R$. Given $M$, we can construct the monomial:

$$
\mathbf{x}_{M}^{\lambda(M)}=\prod_{j=1}^{k} x_{i_{j}}^{m\left(i_{j}\right)}
$$

Conversely, given a monomial, the associated multiset is the set of indices appearing in it, along with multiplicities. The action of $\mathfrak{S}_{\infty}$ on monomials coincides with the natural action of $\mathfrak{S}_{\infty}$ on multisets $M$ and this action preserves the type of a multiset (resp. monomial). We also note the following elementary fact.

[^0]Lemma 2. Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\infty}$ and $f \in R$. Then there exists a positive integer $N$ and $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{N}$ such that $\tau f=\sigma f$.

Theorem 1 is a direct corollary of the following result.
Theorem 3. Let $G=\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\}$ be a set of monomials of degree $d$ with distinct types and fix a matrix $C=\left(c_{i j}\right) \in K^{n \times n}$ of rank $r$. Then the submodule $I=\left\langle f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right\rangle_{R\left[\mathfrak{S}_{\infty}\right]} \subseteq R$ generated by the $n$ polynomials, $f_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i j} g_{i}$, $(j=1, \ldots, n)$, cannot have fewer than $r R\left[\mathfrak{S}_{\infty}\right]$-generators.

Proof. Suppose that $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}$ are generators for $I$; we prove that $k \geq r$. Since each $p_{l} \in I$, it follows that each is a linear combination, over $R\left[\mathfrak{S}_{\infty}\right]$, of monomials in $G$. Therefore, each monomial occurring in $p_{l}$ has degree at least $d$, and, moreover, any degree $d$ monomial in $p_{l}$ has the same type as one of the monomials in $G$.

Write each of the monomials in $G$ in the form $g_{i}=\mathbf{x}_{M_{i}}^{\lambda_{i}}$ for multisets $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{n}$ with corresponding distinct types $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$, and express each generator $p_{l}$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{l}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\lambda(M)=\lambda_{i}} u_{i l M} \mathbf{x}_{M}^{\lambda_{i}}+q_{l}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $u_{i l M} \in K$ with only finitely many of them nonzero, each monomial in $q_{l}$ has degree larger than $d$, and the inner sum is over multisets $M$ with type $\lambda_{i}$.

Since each polynomial in $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right\}$ is a finite linear combination of the $p_{l}$, and since only finitely many integers are indices of monomials appearing in $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}$, we may pick $N$ large enough so that all of these linear combinations can be expressed with coefficients in the subring $R\left[\mathfrak{S}_{N}\right]$ (c.f. Lemma 2). Therefore, we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{j}=\sum_{l=1}^{k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{N}} s_{l j \sigma} \sigma p_{l} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some polynomials $s_{l j \sigma} \in R$. Substituting (1) into (2) gives us that

$$
f_{j}=\sum_{l=1}^{k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\lambda(M)=\lambda_{i}} v_{l j \sigma} u_{i l M} \mathbf{x}_{\sigma M}^{\lambda_{i}}+h_{j}
$$

in which each monomial appearing in $h_{j} \in R$ has degree greater than $d$ and $v_{l j \sigma}$ is the constant term of $s_{l j \sigma}$. Since each $f_{j}$ has degree $d$, we have that $h_{j}=0$. Thus,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i j} \mathbf{x}_{M_{i}}^{\lambda_{i}}=\sum_{l=1}^{k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\lambda(M)=\lambda_{i}} v_{l j \sigma} u_{i l M} \mathbf{x}_{\sigma M}^{\lambda_{i}} .
$$

Next, for a fixed $i$, take the sum on each side in this last equation of the coefficients of monomials with the type $\lambda_{i}$. This produces the $n^{2}$ equations:
$c_{i j}=\sum_{l=1}^{k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{N}} \sum_{\lambda(M)=\lambda_{i}} v_{l j \sigma} u_{i l M}=\sum_{l=1}^{k}\left(\sum_{\lambda(M)=\lambda_{i}} u_{i l M}\right)\left(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{N}} v_{l j \sigma}\right)=\sum_{l=1}^{k} U_{i l} V_{l j}$, in which $U_{i l}=\sum_{\lambda(M)=\lambda_{i}} u_{i l M}$ and $V_{l j}=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{N}} v_{l j \sigma}$. Set $U$ to be the $n \times k$ matrix $\left(U_{i l}\right)$ and similarly let $V$ denote the $k \times n$ matrix $\left(V_{l j}\right)$. These $n^{2}$ equations are represented by the equation $C=U V$, leading to the following chain of inequalities:

$$
r=\operatorname{rank}(C)=\operatorname{rank}(U V) \leq \min \{\operatorname{rank}(U), \operatorname{rank}(V)\} \leq \min \{n, k\} \leq k
$$

Therefore, we have $k \geq r$, and this completes the proof.
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